Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

blic Service Commission of South Carolina

(FAX)

P.001/002

Phone: 803-896-5100

Fax: 803-896-5199 www.psc.sc.gov



**Complaint Form** 

Print ...

Date: AUGUST 2	4, 2012	DULKER	2012	-177-	ىرىد		1901		
Complainant or				* Requ	ired Field	ds			
Name *	ALBERT K. ST	EBBINS,I <u>II, (</u>	HOMEOWNE	R)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4
Firm (if applicable)	<b>,</b> .		-						
Mailing Address *	16143 TANA TE	A CIRCLE							
City, State Zip *	TEGA CAY, SC 29708 Phone * 803-548-0209								
E-mail *	BUZSTEBBINS	@HOTMAIL	,COM						
Name of Utility I NOTE: If A	Involved in Con					at the end of	this form.		
Type of Complai		riate box bel	ow.) * nd Credit Estat	lishment	Wro	ng Rate		Refusal t	to Connect Service
Disconnection	of Service	Payment A  Meter Issu	rrangements e	•	Wate	r Quality	İ	Line Ext	ension Issue
Other (be specia						Name of			
Have you contacte	ed the Office of Re	egulatory Sta	n (ORS)? *	Yes 🔯 🛚	\ I	S Contact:			
(A 43% INCREAS NEWER PART O	ROPOSED EXCE SE IN WATER R OF TEGA CAY AF	SSIVE INCF ATES OVER RE SUBSTA	REASES IN V A TWO-YEA NTIALLY LES	VATER AND AR PERIOD SS. S EE A	SEWEF AND MO TTAC	R RATES FOR S	OR THE OL	DER PAI 'ES) RAT	RT OF TEGA CAY
Relief Requested REQUEST PSC I SUBSTANTIALLY THAN FOR FOR WASTEFUL OR I	INVESTIGATE T Y MORE THAT T T MILL AND THE	HE BASIS C WO-YEAR I E NEWER PA	FTHESE IN NCREASE C ART OF TEG	CREASES A	AND VEI	RIFY WHY Y THE RAT ANY SHOU REC AUG	THEY HAVE ES ARE SO ILD NOT PR BIVE	COFIT FR	AN HALLY WORE
STATE OF SOUTH		)	VERIFI	CATION			<del>SC SC</del> L / DMS		Internal Use Only
I, ALBERT	ORK K. STEBBINS, lainant's Name *	<del></del>	rify that I have		nplaint fil	ed on AU	G 24, 2012 Date *	Pò	ocessed By Date
and know the conte	ents thereof, and th	at said conten	ts are true.	alley	/1, /	it's Signature	111-	_ <u>H</u>	PN5-18-40-7-11(4-4-6)

Letter of Protest

August 24,2012

Public Service Commission of South Carolina 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210 Phone: 803-896-5100 Fax: 803-896-5199

WWW.psc,sc.qov

Docket: 2012-177-WS

I am protesting the rate hike request by our water & sewer provider known as Tega Cay Water Service. This company has been awarded rate increases and permits, as recently as 2010, over the objection of customers and concern of the Catawba Riverkeeper. TCWS has been the source of many issues with sewage overflow into the lake and surrounding properties. DHEC [or other governmental agency] had even required that they reduce the frequency and effects of these overflows. From memory, the public meetings held in 2010 showed they were supposed to achieve certain benchmarks from previous operational deficiencies that were not met. The TCWS was given the permit [and a rate hike] with the understanding it was to operate with various new benchmarks.

The 2012 letter, provided by TCWS, is an attempt to validate the requested rate hike. It highlights costs borne as a result of additional testing, oversight and compliance monitoring [my words]. This is absurd. The company has additional expenses to comply with a "punitive" action that resulted from their own negligence or poor management. This operation should have been performing regular maintenance and preventive measures while allocating adequate funds for proper maintenance and depreciation annually. Instead, the system has been allowed to depreciate and deteriorate while cash was harvested from the entity. This method of management should not be rewarded with additional customer funds to ball-out their failed oversight. Many customers have been hit by hard economic times or live on a fixed income and will have difficulty in absorbing this inflated rate request.

Consider the appearance of this situation;

- TCWS can operate at the highest margin possible by falling to invest and maintain a system.
- The system deteriorates due to poor management or negligence.
- The provider is penalized for poor management and results.
- The company invests money to perform the minimum required up-fit in a "band-aid" style repair to satisfy the regulators.
- Customers get a product that is similar or lower quality than that which caused regulators to act in the
  first place. (temporarily meets benchmarks but will shortly have similar or worse issues e.g. roots will
  grow back thicker and my further breach the pipe walls).
- Company asks for money invested to fix their previous errors and help pay for the added costs related to monitoring their deficient system.

If these funds are awarded, the company will only have suffered an "opportunity cost" related to the cash investment that bridged from the precious rate hike to current day. Effectively, the company that performs poorly enough to just barely operate while maximizing profitability is rewarded the most. This is not rational and should not be reinforced by the approval of this rate hike request.

Olbb 111. A Jahray 117. Albert K. Stebbins, III, Tega Cay, SC, 29708-8552

Nune 803-548-0209